

Appl. No. 10/779,338  
Docket No. 9532Q  
Amdt. dated October 30, 2006  
Reply to Office Action mailed on September 29, 2006  
Customer No. 27752

### REMARKS

#### Claim Status

Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application. Claims 15-20 are withdrawn herein. No additional claims fee is believed to be due.

#### Response to Requirement for Restriction of Species

In the Office action, it is asserted that the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- Species I: Figure 1 (Claims 1-14) directed to a method of placing a material on a plurality of moving webs; and  
Species II: Figure 14 (Claims 15-20) directed to a method of placing a material on a single web.

The Examiner has required, under 35 USC § 121, election of a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic. A reply to the Examiner's requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected and a listing of all claims readable thereon.

Applicants hereby elect Species I with claims 1-14 readable thereon. This election is made without traverse. Claims 15-20 have been withdrawn by this amendment as being readable on a non-elected species.

#### Conclusion

Early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By



Charles R. Matson  
Registration No. 52,006  
(513) 634-0072

Date: October 30, 2006  
Customer No. 27752  
(Amendment-Response to Office Action.doc)  
Revised 04/25/2006